Di-n-octylphthalate

CAS #117-84-0

Swiss CD-1 mice, at 1.25, 2.50, and 5.00% in feed James C. Lamb IV, NTP/NIEHS Project Officer Dushyant K. Gulati, Robin Chambers, Suzann Shaver, and P.S. Sabharwal, Environmental Health Research and Testing Started 8/28/83; Completed 5/17/85 NTIS #PB85218147

Di-n-octylphthalate (DOP) was tested using the RACB protocol in Swiss CD-1 mice as part of a structure-activity evaluation of a variety of phthalates (Heindel et al., Fundam Appl Toxicol 12:508-518 [1989]). Body weights, food and water consumptions, and clinical signs in a doserange-finding study were used to set doses for the main study of 0.0, 1.25, 2.5, and 5% in feed. Feed consumption was unchanged by DOP addition. Based on measured consumption, these concentrations of DOP produced calculated consumption estimates of approximately 1.8, 3.6, and 7.5 g DOP/kg body weight/day.

Three controls and two middle dose mice died during the continuous breeding phase. Both treated and contol mice gained an average of 12 to 14% of their original body weight. There was no effect of DOP exposure on the number of litters per pair, the mean number of live pups per litter (control value, 11.5 ± 0.4 pups), proportion

born alive, live pup weight adjusted for litter size (control, 1.60 ± 0.01 g) or days to deliver each litter.

In the absence of any demonstrable reproductive toxicity, a Task 3 crossover mating was not performed, and the fertility of the second generation was evaluated for the controls and high dose groups.

In the F_1 mice, growth and viability were unaffected by DOP consumption. Reproduction was also unaffected: the same proportion of treated and control mice mated and bore live litters. The size and viability of these litters was also unaffected by DOP (11.5 pups per litter, controls), as was adjusted pup weight (1.50 \pm 0.03 g).

The F₁ adults were killed and necropsied after the F₂ litters were delivered and evaluated. In F₁ females, body weight was unaffected by 5% DOP exposure, but when adjusted for body weight, both liver weight and kidney weight was increased by 22 and 10%, respectively. Treated male

terminal body weight was also unchanged by DOP, while adjusted liver weight was increased by 28%, and seminal vesicle weight was decreased by 12%. Epididymal sperm concentration and motility were unchanged by DOP exposure at 5%; the proportion of morphologically abnormal forms was reduced in the treated mice, from a control value of 5% abnormal to 3.5% abnormal in the DOP-exposed males. Estrous cycle length and stage distribution (the proportion of time spent in each estrous stage) was unchanged by DOP exposure.

The single finding of a slight reduction in F₁ seminal vesicle weight is interesting in light of current concerns about secondgenerational reproductive toxicity but needs confirmation. Overall, these data show that at doses that induced significant hepatomegaly di-n-octylphthalate was without any adverse reproductive effect in Swiss CD-1 mice.

DI-n-OCTYLPHTHALATE

Summary: NTP Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding Study.

NTIS#: PB85218147

Chemical: Di-n-octylphthalate

CAS#: 117-84-0

Mode of exposure: Feed

Species/strain: Swiss CD-1 mice

F ₀ generation	Dose concentration $ ightarrow$	1.25%	2.5%	5.0%
General toxicity		Male, female	Male, female	Male, female
Body weight				
Kidney weight ^a		•	•	•
Liver weight ^a		•	•	•
Mortality		-,-	- , -	_ , _
Feed consumption		_,_	_ , _	_ , _
Water consumption		•	•	•
Clinical signs		-,-	-,-	-,-
Reproductive toxicity				
x litters/pair				
# live pups/litter; pup wt	/litter	-,-	-,-	-,-
Cumulative days to litter		_	_	_
Absolute testis, epididymis weight ^a		•	•	•
	ght ^a (prostate, seminal vesicle)	•	•	•
	s (#, motility, morphology)	•	•	•
Estrous cycle length		•	•	•
Determination of affected sex (crossover)		Male	Female	Both
Dose level	ion (or odd vor)	•	•	•
D030 10 VOI				
	D	1.25%	2.5%	5.0%
F ₁ generation	Dose concentration $ ightarrow$	1.23/0	2.0 / 0	010 / 0
F ₁ generation General toxicity	Dose concentration $ ightarrow$	Male, female	Male, female	Male, female
	Dose concentration →			Male, female
General toxicity	Dose concentration →			
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning	Dose concentration →	Male, female •	Male, female •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a	Dose concentration →	Male, female •	Male, female • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight	Dose concentration →	Male, female • •	Male, female • •	Male, female — , — — , —
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a	Dose concentration →	Male, female • • •	Male, female • • • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a Liver weight ^a	Dose concentration →	Male, female • • • •	Male, female • • • • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a Liver weight ^a Feed consumption	Dose concentration →	Male, female • • • • • •	Male, female • • • • • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a Liver weight ^a Feed consumption Water consumption	Dose concentration →	Male, female • • • • • • • •	Male, female • • • • • • • • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a Liver weight ^a Feed consumption Water consumption Clinical signs	Dose concentration →	Male, female • • • • • • • •	Male, female • • • • • • • • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a Liver weight ^a Feed consumption Water consumption Clinical signs Reproductive toxicity Fertility index		Male, female • • • • • • • • •	Male, female • • • • • • • • • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a Liver weight ^a Feed consumption Water consumption Clinical signs Reproductive toxicity Fertility index # live pups/litter; pup wt	t./litter	Male, female • • • • • • • • •	Male, female • • • • • • • • • • • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a Liver weight ^a Feed consumption Water consumption Clinical signs Reproductive toxicity Fertility index # live pups/litter; pup wt Absolute testis, epididym	t./litter	Male, female • • • • • • • • • • • •	Male, female • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Male, female
General toxicity Pup growth to weaning Mortality Adult body weight Kidney weight ^a Liver weight ^a Feed consumption Water consumption Clinical signs Reproductive toxicity Fertility index # live pups/litter; pup wt Absolute testis, epididym Sex accessory gland weig	t./litter	Male, female • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Male, female • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Male, female

Summary information

Affected sex? Both, unclear

Study confounders: None

NOAEL reproductive toxicity: 5.0%

NOAEL general toxicity: Unknown

F₁ more sensitive than F₀? No

Postnatal toxicity: No

Legend: —, no change; •, no observation; ↑ or ↓, statistically significant change (p<0.05); —, —, no change in males or females. *Adjusted for body weight.